



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,025	12/22/2004	Marina B Jensen	HOI-12402/16	1353
25006	7590	04/06/2009	EXAMINER	
GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C			DRODGE, JOSEPH W	
PO BOX 7021				
TROY, MI 48007-7021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/519,025	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JENSEN, MARINA B	
	<b>Examiner</b> Joseph W. Drodge	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph W. Drodge. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Harper. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2009.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference  
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 52 and 64.

Identification of prior art discussed: Applied prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that amending of claim 64 to recite specific structure as described on page 17, lines 12-26 of the Specification, would better define and may distinguish over the formerly applied prior art. If claim 52 were similarly amended, a rejoining of restricted method claims 52-63 would be considered..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Joseph W. Drodge/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797